Case Studies and Long-Term Strategies for PFAS Remediation Using CAC



Presented By

Grant R. Carey, Ph.D.

Porewater Solutions

Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

gcarey@porewater.com

May 21, 2024



POREWATER SOLUTIONS

Expertise • Experience • Innovation

Co-Author Acknowledgements

Dr. Anthony Danko, NAVFAC EXWC

Dr. Hunter Anderson, Air Force Civil Engineering Center (AFCEC)

Dr. Paul Hatzinger, APTIM

Dr. Keir Soderberg, S.S. Papadopulos & Associates

Remediating PFAS With Colloidal Activated Carbon (CAC)

Typical CAC soil concentration in PRBs: 2,000 mg/kg

Fraction of CAC (f_{cac}): 0.2%



PRB: Permeable Reactive Barrier



Courtesy of REGENESIS

Remediating PFAS With Colloidal Activated Carbon (CAC)

In-barrier monitoring well





Note: Radial diagram illustrated using Visual CHEM which was developed by Porewater Solutions.

More info: www.porewater.com/PFAS.html

Remediating PFAS With Colloidal Activated Carbon (CAC)





Remediating PFAS With Colloidal Activated Carbon (CAC)







U.S. DoD SERDP/ESTCP Project Involvement

ESTCP ER21-3959

An Investigation of Factors Affecting *In Situ* **PFAS Immobilization by Activated Carbon**

ESTCP ER20-5182

Validation of Colloidal Activated Carbon for Preventing the Migration of PFAS in Groundwater

ESTCP ER21-1070

Hydraulic, Chemical, and Microbiological Effects of *In Situ* Activated **Carbon Sorptive Barrier** for PFAS Remediation in Coastal Sites

ESTCP ER24-8200

Two **PFAS Remediation Models** for Understanding and Managing PFAS in the Saturated Zone

The In-Situ Remediation Model (ISR-MT3DMS)

- Proprietary 3-D reactive transport model
- CAC injection and PFAS immobilization
- Longevity of CAC remedies for PFAS of Concern
  - PFOS, PFOA, PFNA, PFHxS, Gen-X
  - PFBS if at least one other is present
- ISR-MT3DMS used in SERDP/ESTCP projects
- 2024 model development
  - Competitive adsorption
  - Kinetic adsorption and desorption
  - Colloidal transport

South Dakota Air Force Base



Navy Coastal Site



Outline

1. **PFAS competitive adsorption effects**
   - 17 Field case studies
2. **Case Studies of PFAS remediation using CAC**
   - South Dakota site – CAC PRB placement
   - Coastal site – tidal effects, geochemistry
   - Eastern US site – short- vs. long-chain, CAC heterogeneity effects
3. **Long-term PFAS remediation strategies**

PFAS Competitive Adsorption

Evaluating CAC Effectiveness for PFAS Remediation

Carey et al. (2022)



DOI: 10.1002/rem.21741

RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Longevity of colloidal activated carbon for in situ PFAS remediation at AFFF-contaminated airport sites

Grant R. Carey[1] | Seyfollah G. Hakimabadi[2] | Mantake Singh[3] | Rick McGregor[4] | Claire Woodfield[3] | Paul J. Van Geel[3] | Anh Le-Tuan Pham[2]

[1]Porewater Solutions, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Waterloo, Canada

[3]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Carleton University, Ontario, Ottawa, Canada

[4]In Situ Remediation Services Ltd., St. George, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence
Grant R. Carey, Porewater Solutions, 2958 Barlow Crescent, Ottawa, ON K0A 1T0, Canada.
Email: gcarey@porewater.com

Funding information
Porewater Solutions, Ontario Centers for Excellence, and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council

Abstract

A review of state per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) guidelines indicates that four long-chain PFAS (perfluorooctanesulfonic acid [PFOS] and perfluorooctanoic acid [PFOA] followed by perfluorohexanesulfonic acid [PFHxS] and perfluorononanoic acid [PFNA]) are the most frequently regulated PFAS compounds. Analysis of 17 field-scale studies of colloidal activated carbon (CAC) injection at PFAS sites indicates that in situ CAC injection has been generally successful for both short- and long-chain PFAS in the short-term (0.3–6 years), even in the presence of low levels of organic co-contaminants. Freundlich isotherms were determined under competitive sorption conditions using a groundwater sample from an aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF)-impacted site. The median concentrations for these PFAS of interest at 96 AFFF-impacted sites were used to estimate influent concentrations for a CAC longevity model sensitivity analysis. CAC longevity estimates were shown to be insensitive to a wide range of potential cleanup criteria based on modeled conditions. PFOS had the greatest longevity even though PFOS is present at higher concentrations than the other species because the CAC sorption affinity for PFOS is considerably higher than PFOA and PFHxS. Longevity estimates were directly proportional to the CAC fraction in soil and the Freundlich K_f, and were inversely proportional to the influent concentration and average groundwater velocity.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have been widely used on a global level for many decades. Perhaps the greatest source of PFAS contamination in the environment today is the use of aqueous film-forming foams (AFFF) for putting out fires. A large number of military and civilian airports have PFAS soil and groundwater contamination due to historical fire training activities. PFAS include polyfluoroalkyl precursors and recalcitrant perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs). PFAAs consist of two classes: perfluorosulfonates (PFSAs) and perfluorocarboxylates (PFCAs). The fluorocarbon chain length of these PFAAs affects the relative toxicity and hydrophobicity of these compounds. The widespread occurrence of PFAS in the subsurface, combined with their recalcitrance and toxicity, presents a significant groundwater remediation challenge. This challenge is compounded by uncertainty in future regulatory changes anticipated at the federal and state levels, regarding which individual PFAS will be regulated and corresponding clean-up goals.

The most common approach used today for the remediation of PFAS in groundwater involves groundwater extraction with ex situ

Single Species and Groundwater Sample Isotherms (Freundlich)



UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

Dr. Anh Pham

Seyfollah Gilak Hakimabadi

17 Field case studies generated CAC effectiveness, even in the presence of low levels of organic co-contaminants.

Chemicals Competing with PFAS for Adsorption

- Long-chain vs. short chain
- Sulfonates vs. carboxylates
- Precursors vs. PFAAs
- Hydrocarbons (if present)
- Natural organic matter (DOC, TOC in groundwater)

PFAS of Concern: Lower competition in downgradient PRBs vs. source area

PlumeStop® Results at 17 PFAS Field Sites

PlumeStop® successfully reduced PFAS mass flux in the CAC zone by orders of magnitude with up to 6 years of monitoring.

Carey et al. (2022)

Concentrations at site (µg/L):

	Average Site	Most-Impacted
PFOS	1.0	152
PFOA	0.9	29

Lithology: Silty sand or fine sand (13 of 17 sites)

f_{cac}: 0.02% to 0.8% (average 0.2%)

Competitive adsorption observed?

Co-contaminants: No

DOC: Yes, at a landfill

Case Studies of PFAS Remediating Using CAC

Section 2

South Dakota Site: Integrated PRB Alternative



Carey et al. (2023)

Site property boundary

PFOA Source Area

CAC Sorption Zone

PFOA Concentration (µg/L)

- 0.004 to 0.1
- 0.1 to 1
- 1 to 10
- 10 to 30
- 30 to 100
- >100

Scale, in meters

0 200 400

Coastal Site Conceptual Model

Carey et al. (2024)

a) Prior to CAC injection





b) Two years after CAC injection





PFOA vs PFOS Trends

a) CAC boundary





b) Shore





Eastern U.S. Site CAC Permeable Reactive Barrier



Carey et al. (2024) – in progress

APTIM

Dr. Paul Hatzinger

Dr. Graig Lavorgna

Dr. David Lippincott

Navy

Dr. Tony Danko

Air Force Civil Engineer Center

Field Demonstration of Colloidal Activated Carbon for In Situ Sequestration of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

Tony Danko, Ph.D., P.E.
Environmental Engineer
NAVFAC EXWC/SH321
September 2023

Eastern U.S. Site CAC Permeable Reactive Barrier



Modeled vertical extent includes the shallow well screen interval (5 ft thick).

Air Force Civil Engineer Center

Field Demonstration of Colloidal Activated Carbon for In Situ Sequestration of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

Tony Danko, Ph.D., P.E.
Environmental Engineer
NAVFAC EXWC/SH321
September 2023

Eastern US Site: Calibrated PFAS Adsorption Isotherms



Modeled PFAS Trends at In-Barrier Monitoring Wells





















Symbols: Observed (white fill: ND, color fill: detected)
Lines: Modeled
Blue: PMW-1S (x=5 ft into PRB)
Orange: PMW-2S (x=10 ft into PRB)



Modeled PFOS Concentrations in Cross-Section



Concentration (ng/L)

- <0.01
- 0.01 to 0.1
- 0.1 to 1
- 1 to 10
- 10 to 100
- 100 to 1,000
- 1,000 to 10,000
- >10,000

East US Site: PFAS Desorption Downgradient of PRB





$v = 200$ ft/y

$f_{oc} = 0.2\%$

$C_{max} = 7$ µg/L

5 ft

Long-Term Remediation Strategies

Section 3

Simulated Remedial Alternatives

Alternative No. 1
CAC PRB

Alternative No. 2
CAC PRB + Partial Wall

Alternative No. 4
CAC PRB + Cover

Alternative No. 4
CAC PRB + Full Wall + Cover



Pre-remediation plume outline

Source mass discharge declines with half-life of 30 years (Carey et al., 2019)

CAC: colloidal activated carbon
PRB: permeable reactive barrier
Md: Mass discharge

Modeled PFOA Plumes 30 years Post-Injection

Alternative No. 1
CAC PRB
Source Md = 36 g/y

Alternative No. 2
CAC PRB + Partial Wall
Source Md = 35 g/y

Alternative No. 4
CAC PRB + Cover
Source Md = 18 g/y

Alternative No. 4
CAC PRB + Full Wall + Cover
Source Md < 1



Pre-remediation plume outline

PFOA (ug/L)
- 0.004 to 0.1
- 0.1 to 1
- 1 to 10
- 10 to 100
- > 100

CAC: colloidal activated carbon
PRB: permeable reactive barrier
Md: Mass discharge

CAC Long-Term Remediation Strategies

Downgradient PRBs

- Greater longevity
- Faster goal attainment at downgradient receptors

Short-Term Goal: PFAS mass discharge 

Longer Term Goal: Cleanup criteria attainment

- Attenuation between compliance bdy and receptor?

What Happens to CAC PRBs In the Long-Term?

Future options when CAC is spent:

1. Inject follow-up CAC PRB slightly downgradient
   - Low Net Present Value (NPV) cost
2. In 10-20 years, we may have technologies to treat PFAS-laden CAC in-situ (e.g., thermal)



Questions?

Grant R. Carey, Ph.D.

Porewater Solutions

gcarey@porewater.com

Phone: 613-890-2286

POREWATER SOLUTIONS

Expertise • Experience • Innovation

www.porewater.com/PFAS.html

Porewater Solutions
Booth No. 244

Extra Slides

New EPA PFAS MCLs (April 2024)

PFAS of Concern

EPA Final MCL (μg/L)				
PFOS	**PFOA**	**PFHxS**	**PFNA**	**HFPO-DA**
0.004	0.004	0.010	0.010	0.010

Hazard Index (HI) MCL for PFAS Mixtures

$$\text{ard Index (HI) MCL} = \left(\frac{\text{PFNA}}{0.01\ \mu g/L}\right) + \left(\frac{\text{PFHxS}}{0.01\ \mu g/L}\right) + \left(\frac{\text{PFBS}}{2\ \mu g/L}\right) + \left(\frac{\text{HFPO} - \text{DA}}{0.01\ \mu g/L}\right) =$$

- Mixtures of two or more of these PFAS are not to exceed HI of 1
- PFHxS and PFNA adsorb well in CAC PRBs
- PFBS is not of concern on its own
- Establishing site background will be critical

Influence of Competition on Relative K_d



PFBS Isotherms

Singh et al. (2024)



PFBS Adsorption Trends

- Decreased 3x to 4x from 1 to 2 species
- Decreased 25% from 2 to 3 species

Effects of Competitive Adsorption



2016: First PFAS In-Situ Remediation